



## What is claimed is:

- **1.** An improved echo control system of the type including:
  - an echo-containing near signal input;
  - an echo canceller, coupled to a far signal reference, producing an echo estimate signal output representative of the echo contained in the near signal;
  - a signal coupling node, coupled to the near signal input and the echo estimate signal output, producing an echo-canceled signal output having an echo residue;
  - an echo shaping filter, coupled to the echo-canceled signal output, reducing the echo residue and providing an echo-suppressed signal output, the echo shaping filter having a spectral response determined by filter coefficients; and

a background filter, coupled to:

- (a) an error signal representative of the difference between:
  - (i) the echo canceled signal, and
  - (ii) a signal representative of background filter spectral response, and
- (b) an adaptive control module producing a reference signal output that is a weighted sum of:
  - (i) the echo-containing signal, and
  - (ii) the echo canceled signal,
- the background filter updating the filter coefficients of the echo shaping filter responsive to a normalized least mean square (NLMS) algorithm; wherein the improvement comprises:
- determining, in the adaptive control module, a reference signal weight for the weighted sum, the weight being proportional to the far signal reference;

١, [

Ħ

and an estimate of the norm of an echo canceller error vector, and inversely proportional to **a**n estimate of a residue of the echo canceller; and

using a non-linear normalized convergence term in the NLMS algorithm.

- 2. An improved echo control system according to claim 1, wherein the echo canceller is a finite impulse response (FIR) filter.
- 3. An improved echo control system according to claim 1, wherein the echo shaping filter is a finite impulse response (FIR) filter.
- 4. An improved echo control system according to claim 1, wherein the background filter is a finite impulse response (FIR) filter.
- 5. An improved echo control system according to claim 1, wherein the echo
  canceller error vector is determined as:

$$\Delta w(k) = \mathbf{w}_{ep} - \mathbf{w}(k)$$

- where  $\Delta w(k)$  represents the echo canceller error vector,  $\mathbf{w}_{ep}$  represents a physical echo path identified by the echo canceller, and  $\mathbf{w}(k)$  the echo canceller response.
- 6. An improved echo control system according to claim 1, wherein the reference signal weight is determined as:

$$\alpha(k) = \frac{\beta \|\Delta \mathbf{w}(k)\| \overline{x}_s(k)}{\overline{e}_s(k)}$$

where  $\alpha(k)$  represents the reference signal weight,  $\beta$  represents a constant normalizing term,  $\|\Delta \mathbf{w}(k)\|$  represents an estimate of the norm of the echo canceller error vector,

- $\bar{x}_s(k)$  represents a short-term average magnitude of the far signal reference, and  $\bar{e}_s(k)$ represents a short-term average magnitude of the echo canceller residue.
- 7. An improved echo control system according to claim 6, wherein the echo canceller error vector is determined as:

$$\frac{N+N_T}{N_T}\sum_{i=1}^{N_T} \left| w_i(k) \right|$$

An improved echo control system according to claim 1, wherein the NLMS 8. update algorithm is:

$$\mathbf{h}(k+1) = \mathbf{h}(k) + \frac{\mu}{\zeta + \mathbf{z}(k)^T \mathbf{z}(k)} \mathbf{z}(k) e_h(k)$$

1927, 1924, 1924, 1961, 1961, 1961, 1971, 1981, 1984, where  $\mathbf{h}(k)$  represents the echo shaping filter having an order  $L_H$ ,  $\mathbf{z}(k)$  represents a vector representing the  $L_H$  most recent values of the reference signal output,  $e_h(k)$  represents the error signal,  $\zeta$  represents a non-negative constant, and

$$\frac{\mu}{\zeta + \mathbf{z}(k)^T \mathbf{z}(k)}$$
 represents a normalized convergence coefficient.

- An improved method of echo control of the type including: providing an echo-containing near signal input; producing, with an echo canceller coupled to a far signal reference, an echo estimate signal output representative of the echo contained in the near signal;
  - producing, with a signal coupling node coupled to the near signal input and the echo estimate signal output, an echo-canceled signal output having an echo residue;

reducing, with an echo shaping filter coupled to the echo-canceled signal output, the echo residue and providing an echo-suppressed signal output, the echo shaping filter having a spectral response determined by filter coefficients; and

providing a background filter, coupled to:

- (a) an error signal representative of the difference between:
  - (i) the echo canceled signal, and
  - (ii) a signal representative of back ground filter spectral response, and
- (b) an adaptive control module producing a reference signal output that is a weighted sum of:
  - (i) the echo-containing signal, and
  - (ii) the echo canceled signal,

the background filter updating the filter coefficients of the echo shaping filter responsive to a normalized least mean square (NLMS) algorithm; wherein the improvement comprises:

determining, in the adaptive control module, a reference signal weight for the weighted sum, the weight being proportional to the far signal reference; and an estimate of the norm of an echo canceller error vector, and inversely proportional to an estimate of a residue of the echo canceller; and

using a non-linear normalized convergence term in the NLMS algorithm.

10. An improved echo control method according to claim 1, wherein the echo canceller is a finite impulse response (FIR) filter.

Darli Harry of

- 11. An improved echo control method according to claim 1, wherein the echo shaping filter is a finite impulse response (FIR) filter.
- **12.** An improved echo control method according to claim 1, wherein the background filter is a finite impulse response (FIR) filter.
- 13. An improved echo control method according to claim 1, wherein the echo canceller error vector is determined as:

$$\Delta w(k) = \mathbf{w}_{ep} - \mathbf{w}(k)$$

where  $\Delta w(k)$  represents the echo canceller error vector,  $\mathbf{w}_{ep}$  represents a physical echo path identified by the echo canceller, and  $\mathbf{w}(k)$  the echo canceller response.


14. An improved echo control method according to claim 1, wherein the reference signal weight is determined as:

$$\alpha(k) = \frac{\beta \|\Delta \mathbf{w}(k)\| \overline{x}_{s}(k)}{\overline{e}_{s}(k)}$$

where  $\alpha(k)$  represents the reference signal weight,  $\beta$  represents a constant normalizing term,  $\|\Delta \mathbf{w}(k)\|$  represents an estimate of the norm of the echo canceller error vector,  $\overline{x}_s(k)$  represents a short-term average magnitude of the far signal reference, and  $\overline{e}_s(k)$  represents a short-term average magnitude of the echo canceller residue.

**15.** An improved echo control method according to claim 6, wherein the echo canceller error vector is determined as:

$$\frac{N+N_T}{N_T}\sum_{i=1}^{N_T}\left|w_i(k)\right|$$



$$\mathbf{h}(k+1) = \mathbf{h}(k) + \frac{\mu}{\zeta + \mathbf{z}(k)^T \mathbf{z}(k)} \mathbf{z}(k) e_h(k)$$

where  $\mathbf{h}(k)$  represents the echo shaping filter having an order  $L_H$ ,  $\mathbf{z}(k)$  represents a vector representing the  $L_H$  most recent values of the reference signal output,  $e_h(k)$  represents the error signal,  $\zeta$  represents a non-negative constant, and

 $\frac{\mu}{\zeta + \mathbf{z}(k)^T \mathbf{z}(k)}$  represents a normalized convergence coefficient.